Chloromyia formosa (Scop.) Haematopota crassicornis (Wahl.) Thereva nobilitata (Fab.) Pachymeria tessellata (Fab.) Dolichopus atratus (Mg.) Dolichopus vitripennis (Mg.) Hercostomus cupreus (Fall.) Aphrosylus celtiber (Hal.) Syrphus ribesii (L.) Metasyrphus corollae (Fab.) Scaeva pyrastri (L.) Melanostoma mellinum (L.) Pyrophaena granditarsa (Forster)

Pyrophaena rosarum (Fab.)

Chrysogaster hirtella (Loew) Lejogaster metallina (Fab.) Neoascia podagrica (Fab.) Volucella bombylans (L.) Syritta pipiens (L.)

Helophilus pendulus (L.) Eristalis nemorum (L.)

Eristalis tenax (L.) Eristalinus aeneus (Scop.)

Calliphora vicina (Rob.) — Desv. Calliphora vomitoria (L.) Scathophaga stercoraria (L.) Fannia sociella (Zett.) Morellia simplex (Loew)

St. Johns Valley

occasional frequent common, West Sidelands very common common one female, Quarry Pool, 290683 one female, 220683 abundant around the base of Rat Island occasional frequent two on 220683 very common and widespread frequent in St. Johns Valley, and one at Pondsbury one on 180683, two on 220683, St. Johns Valley Quarterwall Cottages, 200683 three plus, Quarterwall east, 200683 very common in St. Johns Valley two on 220683, Millcombe (var. typica) extremely common and widespread (very common everywhere this year in particular) common, St. Johns Valley two males, 220683, holding territories in Millcombe frequent frequent on cliffs, by Battery and Landing Bay/Rat Island frequent frequent very common very common common

This is an excellent and unique feature of Lundy's natural history, holding a very good fauna of which I have only brushed the surface. I believe that it may have deteriorated recently in value due to the extent of tussocks and invading scrub, although even this benefits a number of species. I feel, however, that the planting of very large numbers of trees along the valley was a severe mistake ecologically, as these will eventually destroy most of the present site value and transform it into an arm of Millcombe. Its main value lies in its open, but often sheltered, marshy nature resulting in an interesting resident fauna and attracting migrant species. With some degree of management its value could have been retained and possibly increased (for example, the lower end could make an ideal site for bird ringing). I fully realise that no action can be taken regarding the situation other than the possible expressions of disapproval but, once again, I would have expected the Society to be consulted regarding the plantings. I believe that further examination of the fauna of the valley before the trees take over would be worthwhile, as a number of species will undoubtably disappear from the site in due course.

Incidentally, I also noted a tree guard at Pondsbury from which the unknown trees species was mysteriously missing. The planting of trees at a superb site like Pondsbury is, to my mind, absolutely crazy — even one tree could cause immense damage here, although whether the Society can do anything to prevent it I don't know.

A. J. PARSONS Barnfield Tower Hill Road Crewkerne Somerset